## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-80 are now pending in the application. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection(s) in view of the amendments and remarks contained herein.

## REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-80 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No.2002/0037750. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Hussain relates generally to a mobile communication device that provides notification when a pre-selected number has been called. Hussain teaches a notification system that forwards alerts from one mobile device user to a <u>different</u> user connected to the network based on the status of the first mobile device user. Hussain does not disclose a notification system that receives information regarding an activity of a user and sends a notification to the <u>same</u> user based on the user's current activity. Moreover, Hussain does not teach or suggest selecting from among different delivery methods based upon the user's current activity.

In contrast, applicant's invention is directed to a different type of information notification method. Of note, applicant's claimed invention receives information regarding an activity of a user and sends a notification to the same user based on the user's current activity. In addition, the delivery method for the notification is selected from among different types of delivery methods based on the user's current activity. Pending claims have been amended to more clearly define this aspect of applicant's invention. This approach represents a radical shift in a paradigm that was not

contemplated by Hussain. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that the pending claims

define patentable subject matter over Hussain.

With regard to claim 71, applicant notes that Hussain fails to disclose

downloading an API from the notification delivery system to the enrollment device. For

this additional reason, this claim is viewed as patentable over Hussain. Accordingly,

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection.

CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly

traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests

that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is

believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office

Action and the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and

favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner

believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the

Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 2, 2008

By: /Timothy D. MacIntyre/

Timothy D. MacIntyre

Reg. No. 42,824

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.

P.O. Box 828

Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303

(248) 641-1600

TDM/med

Serial No. 10/769,155

Page 22 of 22